1967 ஜூலை 18]

விடை.—(அ) 88.

(இ) அனுமதிக்கப்பட்ட திட்டங்கள் அனைத்தும் நிறைவேற்றுவதற்கு எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்டன. 30 நகரமைப்புத் திட்டங்கள் ஆரம்ப நிலையில் உள்ளன.

(உ) ஒவ்வொரு திட்டத்திற்கும் நகர மன்றம் தயாரித்து அரசினருக்கு அனுப்பிவைக்க 3 முதல் 5 ஆண்டுக் காலம்வரை அனுமதி வழங்கப்படுகின்றது. கொடுக்கப்பட்ட காலத்திற்குள் நகர மன்றத்தாரால் தயாரித்து முடிக்க இயலாவிட்டால் போதிய கால தவணை மேலும் அனுமதிக்கப்படுகின்றது.

(எ) தெரியும். சுமார் 47 திட்டங்கள் இம்மாதிரி நிலையில் உள்ளன.

(ஐ) திட்டத்தில் உள்ள 3 சாலைகளும் பொது உபயோகத்தில் உள்ளன. அவைகளில் எந்தவிதமான இடைஞ்சல்களும் இல்லை.

(1) Eighty-eight.

(2) All the sanctioned schemes have been taken up for implementation. However, 30 schemes are in the initial stage.

(3) Time is granted by Government from three to five years to the Municipal Council for preparation, publication and submission of each scheme. Further extension of time is also granted to the Municipal Council if it does not prepare the scheme within the time limit.

(4) Yes. There are about 47 schemes at this stage.

(5) All the three roads in the scheme are in public use and there are no obstruction in anyone of these roads.

[குறிப்பு—ஓர் உரையின் தொடக்கத்தில் உடுக்குறி (*) இடப்பட்டிருந்தால், உறுப்பினரால் அவ்வுரை சரிபார்க்கப்பட்டது என்பதைக் குறிக்கும்.]

மாண்புமிகு பேரவைத் தலைவர்: வினாக்கள்-விடைகள் முடிவடைந்தன. அடுத்து உரிமைப் பிரச்சினைகள்.

2. உரிமைப் பிரச்சினைகள்—பேரவைத் தலைமையின் தீர்ப்புகள்.

(அ) நவசக்தி நாளிதழில் பேரவை நடவடிக்கை பற்றி வந்த செய்தி.

MR. SPEAKER: I have to dispose of three privilege issues.

The first one is Dr. Hande's privilege issue raised against the newspaper 'Navasakthi'. I wrote to the publisher of that newspaper and received a reply which says:

'Since your letter raises important issues concerning privileges, we would request you in all humility to grant us one week's time to make our representations. We have necessarily to take the advice of constitutional authorities and counsel before making our respectful submissions. We desire to emphasise our deep regard and attachment to the Legislative Assembly, of whose privileges and dignity you are the custodian.'

The Hon. House might observe that this letter is written in the proper spirit and tone and with due regard to this House. This House, endowed as it is with supreme powers in its sphere and within the State, does not expect fear or awe from others but only due respect. Therefore I have sanctioned the request made by the publisher and I await a further letter from the publisher. Therefore I have to announce to the House that this issue is adjourned to be taken up at a future date.

(இ) மேலவைத் தலைவர், மேலவை எதிர்க்கட்சித் தலைவர் இவர்கள்மீது எழுப்பப்பட்ட உரிமைப் பிரச்சினை.

Mr. SPEAKER : The other issue, also raised by Dr. Hande, is against the Chairman of the Legislative Council and also the hon. Member Mr. R. Venkataraman, Member of the Legislative Council I have carefully examined the position regarding the relations between the two Houses. When it is alleged that a Member of the other House has committed contempt against this House, this House cannot take any disciplinary action or privilege action against the Member of the other House. But the Speaker is bound to write to the Chairman of the Legislative Council making a request that the Upper House may take action against the concerned Member. But this could not be done in respect of the Chairman himself against whom a privilege issue has been raised. There are two reasons for this. One is I cannot write to the Chairman asking the Chairman to take action against himself. Secondly, that House has no powers, so far as I could see, to take action against its own Chairman in the matter of privileges. For these two reasons I hold that no *prima facie* case has been made against the Chairman of the Legislative Council and I rule accordingly.

மு.ப. 10-30 As regards the hon. Member, Thiru Venkataraman, it is possible to write to the other House and send a communication asking the other House to take action agsint that hon. Member. I said, it is possible, but, the procedure as laid down in the House of Commons is that when such a communication is sent, it should be accompanied by evidence that a breach of privilege has been committed. Therefore when I send that letter, I have got to send it along with the evidence. I find that the hon. Member, Dr. Hande, although he raised that issue, has not placed in my hands any evidence or document which I can send to the other House. Therefore, I hold that no further proceedings can be taken in this matter and, with the permission of this House, I decide to drop this matter of privilege.

(உ) சென்னை நகர போலீஸ் கமிஷனர் தி விபத்துக்கள்பற்றி செய்தி நிருபர்களுக்கு அளித்த அறிக்கை.

Mr. SPEAKER : Regarding the third matter in respect of the privilege issue raised by the Hon. Member, Thiru Kothandaraman, against the Commissioner of Police, I am still awaiting certain facts and the matter is adjourned.